UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/847,967	04/22/1997	Isy Goldwasser	016703-00080	2173
MADELINE I	7590 04/18/2007 OHNSTON, ESQ.	EXAMINER		
KING & SPALDING LLP 191 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30303-1763			EPPERSON, JON D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
*		1639		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
08/847,967	GOLDWASSER ET AL.	GOLDWASSER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Jon D. Epperson	1639			

	Jon D. Epperson	1639					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the second content of the second conte	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount	I36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr	ite extension fee late extension fee				
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing da	te of the final rejection,	even if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for				
NOTE: Please see attached. (See 37 CFR 1.116							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		4:					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ii be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>94 and 97</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>8,10,11,15-24,26,30-35,42,43,45-49,5</u>	<u>1-56,58-60,64-72,74-91,93,95,96,9</u>	<u>8 and 99</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
•							

Art Unit: 1639

The After-Final amendment is denied entry for the following reasons:

- 1. Applicants have removed the "stoichiometry" limitation in independent claims 42, 68, etc. in attempt to get around the priori art of record (e.g., Pohm et al.) significantly changes the scope of the claims, which introduces new search and/or consideration. For example, in the event that Pohm et al. is overcome a new search will be needed to see if Pohm et al. can be combined with additional art in a 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection to reject the other limitations in the Markush listing (e.g., composition, concentration, thickness, etc.). Furthermore, the Pohm et al. rejection may need to be re-written under 35 U.S.C. § 102 if it sill reads on said Markush listing (i.e., new "consideration" may be required).
- 2. There is no reason given for why the amendment was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(b).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon D Epperson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0808. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James (Doug) Schultz can be reached on (571) 272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1639

Jon D. Epperson, Ph.D. April 14, 2007

JON EPPERSON BRIMARY EXAMINER